

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	ATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,949		01/31/2001	Wayne J. Howell	BU9-99-175DIV	1476
28211	7590	12/21/2001			
FREDERI		,	EXAMINER		
2568-A RI	MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC 2568-A RIVA ROAD			GRAYBILL	, DAVID E
SUITE 304 ANNAPOL		21401		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2814	
				DATE MAILED: 12/21/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.50		1			_ /
V		Application No.	App	licant(s)	
	Office Action Summary	09/772,949	HOV	VELL ET AL.	
	Once Action Summary	Examiner	Art I	Unit	
	The MAIL INC DATE of the	David E Graybill	2814		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the corresp	pondence address	
- Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory minin fill apply and will expire S	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be 1X (6) MONTHS from the mail	considered timely.	
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 J	anuary 2001			
2a)□		s action is non-fin	al		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the	nce except for for	mal matters prosecu	ition as to the merits is G. 213.	;
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 15-21 is/are pending in the application	n.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		tion.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) 15-21 is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirem	ient.		
	on Papers	·			
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner				
	he drawing(s) filed on <u>31 January 2001</u> is/are:		Objected to by the	Evaminer	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11) 🗌 🏻	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved	b) disapproved by	the Examiner	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this Office actio	on.		
12) 🔲 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) o	r (f)	
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		(4)	. (/).	
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been receiv	ed.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents				
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit				
	application from the International Bure se the attached detailed Office action for a list o	eau (PCT Rule 17 f the certified copi	.2(a)). es not received.	_	
14)∏ Ad	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a	provisional application	1).
a) 15)∐ A	The translation of the foreign language provecknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application priority under 35	i has been received. U.S.C. §§ 120 and/oi	r 121.	
Attachment(
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3,4</u>	5) ∐ N	nterview Summary (PTO-4 otice of Informal Patent Ap ther:	13) Paper No(s) oplication (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trad TO-326 (Rev.	·	on Summary		Part of Paper No. 7	

Application/Control Number: 09/772,949

Art Unit: 2814

ï

Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

In the rejections infra, reference labels are generally recited only for the first recitation of identical claim language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/772,949

Art Unit: 2814

was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Havemann (6156651) and applicant's admitted prior art.

At column 3, line 38 to column 6, line 30, Havemann teaches the following:

- 15. A method of forming an integrated circuit structure comprising: forming a via through an exterior 18 of said integrated circuit structure to internal components of said integrated circuit structure; lining said via with a barrier layer 22; forming a plug 26, 28 above said barrier layer, said plug comprising a material; and forming a connector 28 on said plug.
- 16. The method in 15, wherein said material comprises copper.
- 17. The method in 15, wherein said barrier layer comprises one or more layers of Ti, TiN, Ta, and TaN.
- 18. The method in 15, wherein said barrier layer prevents elements within said connector from diffusing to said internal components.

Application/Control Number: 09/772,949

Art Unit: 2814

19. The method in 15, further comprising polishing said integrated circuit structure such that said plug, said barrier layer and said exterior form a planar surface.

20. The method in 15, wherein said connector is formed to be in direct contact with said plug.

However, Havemann does not appear to explicitly teach that the components comprise copper.

Nonetheless, at page 7, lines 2-5, applicant teaches copper components. Moreover, it would have been obvious to combine the process of the admitted prior art with the process of Havemann because it would provide the conductors of Havemann.

Claims 15 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Havemann (6156651) and applicant's admitted prior art.

As cited, Havemann teaches the following:

15. A method of forming an integrated circuit structure comprising: forming a via through an exterior 46 of said integrated circuit structure to internal components ["conductors"] of said integrated circuit structure; lining said via with a barrier layer 36; forming a plug 38, 39 above said barrier layer, said plug comprising a material; and forming a connector 60 on said plug.

Application/Control Number: 09/772,949 Page 5

Art Unit: 2814

21. The method in 15, further comprising forming a second barrier layer 60 above said plug and forming a second plug 62 above said second barrier layer, such that said second plug is in direct contact with said connector.

However, Havemann does not appear to explicitly teach that the plug and the components comprise a same material.

Nevertheless, as cited, Havemann teaches that the plug comprises copper. Furthermore, as cited, applicant admits that the prior art components comprise copper. Still further, it would have been obvious to combine the process of the admitted prior art with the process of Havemann because it would provide the conductors of Havemann.

Any telephone inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status (MPEP 203.08) of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Any telephone inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Graybill at (703) 308-2947. Regular office hours: Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for group 2800 is 703/305-3431.

David E. Graybill Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2814